The demands under reference were considered one by one, with the result noted below:—

Subject No. (1) *above.*

The Factory Management raised in the correspondence the following contentions in this respect:—

(*a*) that this Labour Association has to be presumed as having ceased to exist inasmuch as the labourers represented by this Association commenced a strike on 21st September 1944 and are still continuing a strike even after the Registrar of Associations declared (on 2nd November 1944) the strike as illegal;

(*b*) that, further, these workers have since taken up work in other Beedi Factories and did not come back to this Factory for work;

(*c*) that, as such, the notice of change under reference is not valid and cannot be considered by the Management.

The Labour Representative argues that the contentions of the Management cannot be accepted and that it is not a case of strike by the workers but one of illegal lock-out by the Management and that the Association had brought this to the notice of the Registrar and asked for a declaration.

It was pointed out that this question of 'strike' according to the Management, and of lock-out' according to the Association has been considered and disposed of by the Registrar of Associations on the applications received from each side for a declaration. The Registrar has declared (on 2nd November 1944) the cessation of work in this factory as an illegal strike by the employees and there is no declaration given that there is a 'lock-out' by the Management. On the first application of the Association (dated 15th October 1944 and received on 24th October 1944) to declare it as an 'illegal lock-out' by the Management, the Registrar has on examination of the question, held (on 27th October 1944) that the cessation of work in the factory cannot be considered to be in pursuance of lock-out and that the question of lock-out does not arise. On the next application of the Association (dated 30th December 1944 and received on 8th January 1945) to declare it as an illegal lock-out, the Registrar has replied that no such declaration could be given in the absence of sufficient material. There has been no further application from the Association for the lock-out declaration. The question of lock-out declaration does not arise now after the lapse of so many months.

In the present demand No. 1, the Association seeks to question the correctness of the Registrar's decisions and to assume an illegal lock-out on the part of the Management. That would be going beyond the scope of the conciliation proceedings.

In the circumstances, it was pointed out to the Labour Representative that this is not a proper demand for conciliation proceedings. He, however, presses the demand. The Management's representative says, it cannot be accepted. So no agreement possible in this subject.

Subject No. 2 *above.*

The Association representative urges that the Proprietor of the Factory should not open branches in various parts of the City or even outside. The Management does not agree as it is purely a business matter to be left to the Proprietor's discretion. The Association presses the demand. The management representative says, it cannot be accepted. So no agreement possible on this subject.

Subject No. 3 *above.*

As this matter has already been disposed of, the Association has withdrawn this demand.

Subject No. 4 *re* Standing Orders.

This demand relating to the question of framing Standing Orders does not come for conciliation proceedings, as the matter is one to be settled by the Labour Commissioner. It is said that the Management has sent a draft of Standing Orders, in English and that the preparation of a copy in Kannada is pending. This is pointed out to the Association's representative. Still, he presses the demand. No agreement is possible.

Subject No. 5.

The Association wants the proprietor to publish in the newspapers, and by printed notices for the information of the workers the date on which the factory will be thrown open. According to the Management, this question does not arise and the position is as noted above under subject No 1 and there is no lock-out or closing of the Factory by the Management. The Association Representative presses this subject, but the Management cannot accept it. Hence no agreement is possible on this demand either.

All possible ways of settlement were explored, but the parties did not come to a settlement in regard to these demands No. (1), (2), (4) and (5).

The proceedings in regard to these demands thus ended in failure, which I hereby report.

I request that this report may be published in the Gazette, as required in sub-section (4) of Section 12 of the Mysore Labour Act.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

1380 NAVANEETHAM,
Chief Conciliator.

DEVELOPMENT SECRETARIAT

Official Memorandum dated 27-28th August 1945.

No. D. 1395 (6)—I. & C. 43-44-28. The application form for registration of Post-war orders for capital goods as amended and issued by the Government of India is republished for general information. This form supersedes the form previously republished on pages 84-85, Part III-1 of the *Mysore Gazette*, dated 15th February 1945.

1392 C. E. NORONHA, *Dev. Secy.*

Application for Registration of Post-War Orders for Capital Goods.

(To be addressed to the Chief Controller of Imports, New Delhi, in duplicate).

1 Particulars of applicant:—

Name.....
Address (postal).....
(telegraphic).....

1-A. Name of directors or partner, if any, of the concern for which the goods are required .....

2 Country from which goods are to be supplied. .....

3 Description of goods (with number or quantity). .....

.....

.....

.....

4 Part and Serial No. in Schedule to Notification No. 23—I. T. C.—43, dated 1st July 1943, or Serial No. in the Schedule to the Machine Tool Control Order, 1941. .....

5 Approximate value of goods—
(i) F. O. B. ... ...
(ii) C. I. F. (in Rupees) ... .

6 Estimated gross weight (with packing) in tons (of 2,240 lbs.) .....

7 Name and address of manufacturer... .....

8 Name and address of supplier or merchant, in the country mentioned against item 2 above, on whom the order has been or will be placed. .....

9 Has order already been placed on supplier? If so, state number and date of order and of its acceptance, if any. .....

10 Half-yearly period (January-June or July-December 19) during which shipment of the goods is desired (The time likely to be required for manufacture should be taken into consideration). .....

11 Financial year (*i.e* year ending 31st March) during which payment is likely to be required. .....

12 Purpose for which goods are required:—
(a) Replacement of machinery for maintenance of existing plant; if so when was the plant installed and when was the machinery in question last replaced? .....
(b) Expansion of existing plant; if so what is the capacity of existing plant and what will be the capacity of the expanded plant. .....
(c) New industrial undertaking; if so, what is to be the capacity of the plant. .....
(d) For stock and sale ... .

13 Where are the goods to be installed or used? .....

*14 Do the goods covered by this application form a complete order or only an instalment?
If the latter, state—
(a) the extent of the instalment .....
(b) the extent of the full order ... .
(c) date of any connected application for registration. .....

15 In the case of goods falling under 12 (a), (b) or (c) what products are to be manufactured with the machinery in question? .....

16 In the case of goods falling under 12 (b) or (c) is any issue of capital involved? If so, has the consent of Government been obtained; and in the name of what company? .....

16-A. In the case of goods falling under 12 (b) what are the amounts of the present capital and the proposed expanded capital? .....

17 Has any other government authority been approached in connection with the goods covered by this application, *e.g.* for the commencement of the proposed industry or location of the proposed factory? If so, quote reference to the correspondence. .....

18 If the goods are to be purchased from a country outside the sterling area, state whether there are any special reasons for not purchasing within the sterling area. .....

18-A. If the goods are to be obtained from a non-sterling area, do you wish to make an advance payment and if so, of what sum .....

19 Has import licence already been—

(a) applied for (if so, give number and date of application) .....

(b) granted (if so, give number of licence) .....

20 Is any special priority grading desired? If so give full reasons in support thereof. .....

.....

.....

.....

I/We hereby declare that the above statements are true and correct to the best of my/our knowledge and belief.

Date ..... (Signature) .....

Note.—Any special reasons in support of the application may be explained in a covering letter.

ADDITIONAL SECRETARIAT

Dated 27th August 1945.

No. S. P. 886—W. S. 1-45-5. By virtue of powers conferred by Section 5 and Sub-section (2) of Section 11 of the Mysore War Risks (GOODS) Insurance Act, VII of 1943, the Government of His Highness the Maharaja are hereby pleased to republish the accompanying notification of the Government of India, Department of Commerce, for the information of the General public.

1362 J. B. MALLARADHYA, *Addl. Secy.*

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE.

Notification.

Public.

New Delhi, the 21st June 1945,

No. 2 WRI (G)—45-D. The Central Government is pleased to notify that all policies issued under the War Risks (GOODS) Insurance Scheme for the quarter ending on the 30th June 1945 shall, without the payment of any further premium, be deemed to be effective for the quarter ending on the 30th September 1945 as though they were issued for the latter quarter.

S. R. Zaman, Joint Secretary.

3-1 c

Printed by the Superintendent at the Government Press, Bangalore.